Post

MrMcclaughry
3 hours ago (edited)

This is Virginia - After reading these two posts, I received this text message this morning from a friend. Thumbs up!! Loved it.
Ref: link to Dana @rottingjewels claiming response is in this post https://www.youtube.com/post/Ugkxe6-z... Ref: post concerning the "photo of the child" this message refers to https://www.youtube.com/post/Ugkxvbhs...

7:09 AM

Dana can't get away from being property as to her view of things. 😄
As to the photo of the child, that is a wild scenario. It really is crazy the depths that these people will go to.

1

MrMcclaughry
2.22K subscribers

SUBSCRIBE

MrMcclaughry 18 hours ago (edited)

This is Virginia - Rather than put the notice of "From Virginia, using my husband's youtube today to make this post - it is set to go out of view after an undisclosed amount of time." on each post, let's just have an understanding that it applies to all of them. On to my topic - Battle of Supernatural-based Spiritual Beliefs? Part 1. Establishing the Baseline

No, I have not "gone crazy". Some people will want to say that anyway because that's just what they do/or is their job. Nor am I driven by grief/loss and "can't face the death of our child". Come on now people, when have I ever been that EASY to control. You know that.

What I *am* doing is as I said. I am taking things up a notch into the "real" publicly for the first time (in some cases) because it is time to start living more fully as part of establishing the baseline for the future. I firmly believe that is a necessary part of healing the timelines. What kind of hypocrite would I be if I wasn't willing to start living in the now to match what I want to have in the future? Right. Rhetorical question, that. What you do now is how the future gets created.

You could even say that Dana Whitmire has been a bit of an inspiration/catalyst that way to me, although I doubt that currently she will want to appreciate that acknowledgement but no matter. Credit where credit is due.

On that note -

On that note -

It should be pretty clear now that Dana Whitmire @rottingjewels currently holds - has created as being true for herself - her own unorthodox version of Christianity as her spiritual beliefs. Nothing wrong with that. It should also be pretty clear now that I (and others) hold quite different spiritual perspectives in a number of ways. Nothing wrong with that either.

Her calling/portraying others spiritual beliefs as "satanic cultists", whether directly or indirectly by vague inference using memes etc., is a Free Speech issue. She has the RIGHT to do so.

We have the RIGHT to respond to that, just as we have the right to examine her spiritual beliefs, raise questions and speak on what we think about it should we choose to do so. (which has been done briefly already, see references)

We WANT Dana to feel that she can say things "with her chest", she just needs to remember others have that right and just because she doesn't like responses that are not in agreement with or in love with her sycophantically - that it is unacceptable for her to try to take away others ability to exercise their rights. As she puts it, she needs to either "get a helmet" or not say it in the first place if she has such discomfort so easily.

Let me make clear then - and perhaps ease Dana's mind in the process.

Let me make clear then - and perhaps ease Dana's mind in the process.

I and others, including my husband, have no wish to silence or harm Dana by trying to take away her rights through legal avenues such as lawsuits, restraining orders, calling for her channel, posts, etc. to be removed.

Can't speak for Dana on those points. I can refer to what she has said publicly is what she stands for/thinks should be the norm ie: making it safe for people to express their spiritual beliefs etc. and their views. (see documentation so far in references)

One would hope that with such repeatedly stated stances by Dana, that she would not have the goal of attempting to bring harm to myself or my husband using legal avenues to try and take away our rights because she gets "uncomfortable" about being answered when it is in factual or non-sycophantic disagreement with her.

THAT WOULD BE NO DIFFERENT THAN THE CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY'S TREATMENT OF "SUPPRESSIVE PERSONS".

OR what psychiatry was primarily used for originally, OR what the Catholic Inquisition was really being used for, etc. etc.

Now, as a societal on-the-ground reference point -

Now, as a societal on-the-ground reference point -

The U.S. Supreme Court has a standing ruling basically that personal spiritual beliefs are protected by the Freedom of Religion clause. There's more to it than that but you can look it up for yourself.

My opinion regarding it - It doesn't matter WHAT you believe, what matters is, are you trying to take away others rights? Especially without having the standing to do so - say like being THE CREATOR who has chosen to not allow you to do whatever nastiness you feel like doing using their creations? That kind of thing.

As to Dana's mischaracterization of that the ancient spiritual practice that I hold, called claiming, loosely, is somehow making her property ie: a SLAVE? That is false. Dana can reject the claiming, of course she can. There is no "force" upon her holding her to it against her will. But, from a supernatural beliefs perspective, what she cannot do is have her cake and eat it too.

That leads directly into Battle of Supernatural-based Spiritual Beliefs? Part 2. Common Ground.

Because one thing we have in common? Respect of the supernatural.

References: Claiming, questions religious beliefs Dana https://www.youtube.com/post/Ugkx2TGK... Claiming, Dana response to https://www.youtube.com/post/Ugkxe6-z... Claiming, first post https://www.youtube.com/post/UgkxhbBD...

Show less